

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pation and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virgini 22313-1450
www.uson.gay

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,925	10/01/2003	Tonia Lee	W2100/280782	9598
23370 JOHN S. PRAT	7590 · 02/09/2007 T. ESO		- EXAMINER	
KILPATRICK	STOCKTON, LLP		ALI, MOHAMMAD	
1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	
	····			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/09/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/677,925	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad Ali	2166	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat-  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)     Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	This action is non-final.  Ilowance except for formal ma	-	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	thdrawn from consideration.  and/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet and the specific she	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  Iments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/1/03.	(8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2166

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to the application filed on 10/13/06.

Claims 1-19 are pending in this Office Action.

## Response to Arguments

After further search and a thorough examination of the present application claims
 1-19 remain rejected.

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered, but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

**First**, Applicant's argue that Meyer does not describe 'the intermediate server sends a unique ID associated with the server to the remote devices and requests that users of the devices associate the ID with their location'.

In response to applicant's arguments, the Examiner respectfully submits that in particular, Meyer teaches this limitation as, a given account is indicate that a PDA and a cell phone two types of electronic devices are used to access services provided by the service provider through the intermediate server. The account preferably includes information that are used to identify and/or contact an electronic device a telephone number of a cell phone corresponding to the account, para. 0061, Fig. 3, Meyer.

**Second**, Applicant's argue that Meyer does not describe 'the intermediate server provides a unique ID to the remote devices'.

In response to applicant's arguments, the Examiner respectfully submits that in particular, Meyer teaches this limitation as the location information are provided to the intermediate server directly from an electronic device and the device contains a if

Art Unit: 2166

Global Position System (GPS) receiver, or if a user manually inputs geographic location information into the device. The intermediate server can derive the information by identifying which network a device is communicating through --for example, by using well-known triangulation techniques or cellular-tower proximity information to determine where a cellular phone is transmitting from, see para. 0091, Meyer

**Third**, Applicant's argue that Meyer does not describe 'requesting a user to associate a unique ID received from a receiver unit with a geographic area'.

In response to applicant's arguments, the Examiner respectfully submits that in particular, Meyer teaches this limitation as remotely configuring a portable electronic device based on a geographic location of the device. The portable electronic device has an associated configuration affecting electronic communications of the device.

The steps of the method occur at a remote system. At the remote system, the method receives the geographical location of the portable electronic device. The method then identifies, from a database, a set of preferences corresponding to the portable electronic device and to the geographic location of the portable electronic device.

Finally, the method transmits the set of preferences to the portable electronic device; which modifies the associated configuration of the portable electronic device, thereby affecting the electronic communications of the portable electronic device, see para.

0044, Meyer.

Hence, Applicants' arguments do not distinguish over the claimed invention over the prior art of record.

In light of the foregoing arguments, the 102/103 rejections are hereby sustained.

Art Unit: 2166

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 3-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meyer et al. ('Meyer' hereinafter), USPgPub 20030212684.

With respect to claim 1,

Meyer teaches a method for maintaining an association between a receiver unit and a plurality of geographic areas served by the receiver unit (Figs. 3, 9), comprising:

at installation of the receiver unit, determining the geographic areas served by the receiver unit (para. 0044, Meyer);

Art Unit: 2166

creating a database that associates the geographic areas served by the receiver unit with a unique ID that identifies the receiver unit (para. 0091, Meyer); and subsequent to installation, updating the database (para. 0105, Meyer) by: providing the unique ID that identifies the receiver unit to a plurality of end viewers served by the receiver unit (paras. 0023, 0091, Meyer);

requesting that at least one of the end viewers associate the unique ID with the geographic area associated with the end viewer (paras. 0016, 0018, Meyer);

receiving the association between the unique ID and the geographic area associated with the end viewer from the end viewer (paras. 0044, 0091, Meyer); and updating the database (para. 0046, Meyer).

As to claim 3,

Meyer teaches wherein providing the unique ID to the end viewers, comprises: displaying the unique ID to the end viewers (para. 0062, Meyer).

As to claim 4.

Meyer teaches wherein requesting that at least one of the end viewers associate the unique ID with the geographic area associated with the end viewer (paras. 0044, 0061, Meyer), comprises:

requesting that the at least one end viewer associate the unique ID with the geographic area associated with the end viewer by providing information via a communications means selected from the group (para. 0044, Meyer) consisting of: a web site, telephone, mail and e-mail (para. 0040, 0075, 0061, 0100, Meyer). As to claim 5,

Art Unit: 2166

Meyer teaches using the database, displaying a map that identifies the geographic areas associated with each receiver unit (para. 0044, Meyer).

As to claim 6,

Meyer teaches using the geographic areas associated with the unique ID in the database to identifies demographic information associated with the geographic areas served by the receiver unit (paras. 0044, 0061 Meyer); and

associating the demographic information with the unique ID for the receiver unit in the database (para. 0075, Meyer).

As to claim 7,

Meyer teaches verifying the database by comparing the stored association between the unique and the geographic area associated with the end viewer with an association between the receive unit and an assigned geographic area (para. 0044, Meyer); and

if the association between the unique ID and the geographic area associated with the end viewer is inconsistent with the association between the receiver unit and an assigned geographic area, then updating the database by storing the association between the unique ID and the assigned geographic area in the database (paras. 0044, 0099, Meyer).

As to claim 8,

Meyer teaches wherein the association between the receiver unit and the assigned geographic area is obtained from an external database (para. 0075, Meyer).

As to claim 9,

Art Unit: 2166

Meyer teaches using the association in the database to provide targeted programming based on the geographic areas served by the receiver units (paras. 0098, 0075, Meyer).

Claims 10-19 have the same subject matter as of claims 1, and 3-9 and Meyer teaches at col. and essentially rejected for the same reasons as discussed above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer et al. ('Meyer' hereinafter), USPgPub 20030212684 in view of Timothy G. Nye ('Nye' hereinafter), USPgPub 20020156917.

Art Unit: 2166

As to claim 2,

Meyer teaches wherein the geographic areas are identified by zip codes (para. 0044, Meyer).

Meyer does not explicitly indicate the claimed zip codes.

Nye discloses zip codes (see paras. 0017, 0236).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references because the zip code of Nye's teaching would have allowed Meyer's system in a virtual community to create within a peer-to-peer computer network by identify peer computer connections based upon a geographically bounded region as suggested by Nye at para. 0019.

### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2166

### **Contact Information**

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-4105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:30 am-6:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mohammad Ali Primary Examiner Art Unit 2166

MA December 22, 2006